

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/743,678	01/12/2001	Hisayoshi Fujimoto	KIX0129-PCT 5747	
28970	7590 03/21/2006	EXAMINER		
	Y WINTHROP SHAW	COLILLA, DANIEL JAMES		
1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD MCLEAN. VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,	711		2854	

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	4	3
~	7	١

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/743,6	' 8	FUJIMOTO ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Daniel J. (Colilla	2854			
Period fo	 The MAILING DATE of this communicator or Reply 	tion appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress		
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF TH 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever ation. ry period will apply and w by statute, cause the app	IIS COMMUNICATIO ent, however, may a reply be ti Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron lication to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this \propto ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,		
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	n 12 January 200	1.				
_		☐ This action is n					
'=	Since this application is in condition for			osecution as to the	merits is		
•	closed in accordance with the practice	•	•				
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the appl	lication.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)🖂	Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.						
7)🖂	Claim(s) 2-16 is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election r	equirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
-	The drawing(s) filed on 12 January 2003		epted or b) objected	d to by the Examin	er.		
•	Applicant may not request that any objection			-			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the				FR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. No	ote the attached Office	e Action or form PT	O-152.		
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have bee	n received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have bee	n received in Applicat	tion No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC ir No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/12/01, 12/16/04</u> .	(80/9e/C	6) Other:	atont Application (PTC			
C Detect and T	rademark Office						

Art Unit: 2854

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claim 1 is rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,947,184 in view of Yamamoto *et al.* (JP 5-344261).

Claim 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,947,184 recites all the claimed structure except for the machine housing mounted on a substantially vertical wall surface. Specifically, the portion of the substrate on which the printing elements are mounted and is avoided by the case, as recited by U.S. Patent No. 6,947,184, is considered to be equivalent structure to the excess surface on which the printing elements are arranged as recited in claim 1 of the present application.

Yamamoto *et al.* teaches mounting an image processing apparatus on a vertical wall as shown in Figure 1 of Yamamoto *et al.* It would have been obvious to combine the teaching of Yamamoto

Application/Control Number: 09/743,678

Art Unit: 2854

et al. with the image processing apparatus disclosed by claim 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,947,184 for the advantage of saving desk space or floor space by mounting the apparatus on a wall.

3. Claim 1 is rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,952,273 in view of Yamamoto et al. (JP 5-344261).

Claim 6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,952,273 recites all the claimed structure except for the machine housing mounted on a substantially vertical wall surface. Yamamoto et al. teaches mounting an image processing apparatus on a vertical wall as shown in Figure 1 of Yamamoto et al. It would have been obvious to combine the teaching of Yamamoto et al. with the image processing apparatus disclosed by claim 6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,952,273 for the advantage of saving desk space or floor space by mounting the apparatus on a wall.

4. Claim 1 is rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,952,289 in view of Yamamoto et al. (JP 5-344261).

Claim 2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,952,289 recites all the claimed structure except for the machine housing mounted on a substantially vertical wall surface. Yamamoto et al. teaches mounting an image processing apparatus on a vertical wall as shown in Figure 1 of Yamamoto et al. It would have been obvious to combine the teaching of Yamamoto et al. with the image processing apparatus disclosed by claim 2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,952,289 for the advantage of saving desk space or floor space by mounting the apparatus on a wall.

Application/Control Number: 09/743,678 Page 4

Art Unit: 2854

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 2-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 6. Claims 1-16 would be allowable if applicant were to file terminal disclaimers to overcome the above double patenting rejections, set forth in this Office action.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claims 1-16 have been indicated as containing allowable subject matter primarily for the printing elements being arranged on an excess surface projecting laterally from the head case such that the printing elements are mounted in a row extending in the same direction as the row of light receiving elements. It is noted that while Japanese Patent No. 6-70090 (as shown in Figure 9 of JP 6-70090) discloses printing elements arranged on an excess surface of a substrate projecting laterally from a head case, these printing elements are not extending in the same direction as the row of light receiving elements.

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Imamura *et al.* is cited to show an example of a combined read/write head in an image processing apparatus.

Art Unit: 2854

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Colilla whose telephone number is 571-272-2157. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld can be reached on 571-272-2168. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

March 14, 2006

Daniel J. Colilla Primary Examiner Art Unit 2854